

**To:** Flattery, Priscilla[Flattery.Priscilla@epa.gov]  
**From:** Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy  
**Sent:** Tue 2/18/2014 5:13:31 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Meeting with Hill staff on CDR and WV.

Did we get RAD to look at studies from 8e perspective earlier?

Wendy Cleland-Hamnett

Director

Office of Pollution Prevention & Toxics

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

202 564-3810 (O) 202 564-0575 (F)

cleland-hamnett.wendy@epa.gov

**From:** Doa, Maria  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 18, 2014 12:11 PM  
**To:** Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy  
**Cc:** Flattery, Priscilla; Henry, Tala  
**Subject:** Fw: Meeting with Hill staff on CDR and WV.

Wendy,

Just following up on this.

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

**Ex. 5 - Deliberative**

I didn't know if you wanted us to get the info and make the determination.

Thanks

---

**From:** Doa, Maria  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 13, 2014 11:12:30 AM  
**To:** Cleland-Hamnett, Wendy; Flattery, Priscilla; Kaiser, Sven-Erik  
**Subject:** Meeting with Hill staff on CDR and WV.

Following is a summary of the discussion with the Hill yesterday. Sven please add anything I missed.

There was a discussion of CDR and TSCA sections 4, 8(d), and 8(e).

We discussed why Eastman reported to CDR and why Freedom Industries did not. We discussed why the health and safety studies were not reported under CDR. The Hill folks seemed clear on both facets.

I was asked whether EPA should issue a section 8(d) rule on the WV chemicals. I told them I could not respond to that. I was asked if section 8(d) could be used to make the company conduct studies. I said no that would be section 4.

I was then asked whether EPA should issue a section 4 rule. I told them I could not respond on whether we should. We discussed the findings that needed to be made and the timing of doing a rule.

The Hill folks discussed doing a section 8(d) rule as a prelude to a section 4 rule but it was pointed out that Eastman had already made available summaries of the studies so there may not be a need for a section 8(d) rule. We did not discuss that others may have data that could come in in response to a section 8(d) rule.

They also asked if the Eastman studies would warrant a section 8(e) notification. I told them we would need to get back to them. (There was a somewhat vague statement on this in earlier correspondence so I did not feel comfortable saying definitively no. I don't know to what degree RAD looked at the information made that determination).

There was also a question on the storage facility and TRI. I explained a little about the basics of TRI and said I would talk to the program and get them the answer.

Maria J. Doa, Ph.D.

Director

Chemical Control Division

Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

United States Environmental Protection Agency

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20016

Tel. 202.566.0718